

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/519,551	03/06/2000	Jozeph W. Triepels	PHN 17, 326	8962
24737 7	7590 03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I	
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2674	
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	. 21

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

<b>★</b>	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/519,551	TRIEPELS ET AL.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	tion(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · ——	eparate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w		-	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. □ Other:							
	PRIM	UW OAIX REMINAXE YHAI					

09/519 |-----|

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the last office action. Particularly, see Grande et al. (USPN 5851709) shown in Fig. 4, where a substrate (100) and a light transmissive support (200) having a light absorbing layer (215) disposed on the upper surface (col. 9, lines 11-13). It would have been obvious that the superposed layers constitute the desired laminar structure.